

Twenty Microsatellite Markers for the Endangered *Vatica mangachapoi* (Dipterocarpaceae)

Authors: Guo, Jun-Jie, Shang, Shuai-Bin, Wang, Chun-Sheng, Zhao, Zhi-Gang, and Zeng, Jie

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 5(2)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1600134>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

TWENTY MICROSATELLITE MARKERS FOR THE ENDANGERED *VATICA MANGACHAPOI* (DIPTEROCARPACEAE)¹

JUN-JIE GUO², SHUAI-BIN SHANG³, CHUN-SHENG WANG², ZHI-GANG ZHAO², AND JIE ZENG^{2,4}

²Research Institute of Tropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Longdong, Guangzhou 510520, People's Republic of China; and ³Northwest Institute of Forest Inventory, Planning and Design, State Forestry Administration, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710048, People's Republic of China

- **Premise of the study:** Microsatellite markers were developed for *Vatica mangachapoi* (Dipterocarpaceae), an endangered species indigenous to Southeast Asia and southern China.
- **Methods and Results:** Twenty microsatellite markers, including 12 polymorphic markers, were identified from *V. mangachapoi* using high-throughput sequencing. Polymorphism at each marker was evaluated using 87 individuals from three natural populations. The number of alleles per polymorphic locus ranged from six to 15, and the observed and expected heterozygosity varied from 0.000 to 0.926 and from 0.177 to 0.864, respectively. These markers were transferred successfully to the endangered species *V. guangxiensis*.
- **Conclusions:** These markers may be used to investigate the genetic diversity and gene flow of *V. mangachapoi* and *V. guangxiensis*.

Key words: conservation genetics; cross-species transferability; Dipterocarpaceae; microsatellite markers; next-generation sequencing; *Vatica mangachapoi*.

Vatica mangachapoi Blanco (Dipterocarpaceae), a typical component of the tropical rainforest, is mainly distributed in Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Thailand, Vietnam, and China (Li et al., 2007). Its heartwood is in great demand due to its hard texture, fine structure, and strong resistance to decay (Appanah and Turnbull, 1998). In addition, this species produces secondary metabolites in its leaves and stems that can be used in herbal medicines (Qin et al., 2011). In China, this species occurs on Hainan Island. Its natural areas have declined rapidly due to over-harvesting and the conversion of its habitats into arable lands or fruit orchards. Consequently, its genetic resources are heavily eroded (Huang et al., 2008). The species has thus been listed in the China Species Red List (Wang and Xie, 2004) and in the Red List of Threatened Species (IUCN, 2014). Hence, it is necessary to assess its genetic diversity, genetic structure, and gene flow among populations so that conservation strategies can be developed. To this end, we developed 12 polymorphic microsatellite loci.

METHODS AND RESULTS

One silica gel-dried leaf sample was used in a simple sequence repeat (SSR) scan at the whole genome level. Total genomic DNA was extracted with a modified

cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Zeng et al., 2002) and was then fragmented into lengths of 300–1500 bp by ultrasonication. DNA fragments shorter than 500 bp were removed using an agarose gel DNA purification kit (Aidlabs Biotech Ltd., Beijing, China). The remaining fragments were then sequenced in a one-sixth run on a Roche 454 GS FLX+ platform (454 Life Sciences, a Roche Company, Branford, Connecticut, USA). The sequencing library was prepared following Roche 454 standard protocols. The method of Lu et al. (2015) was used to control the quality of raw sequencing data and to identify microsatellite markers.

A total of 133,569 reads were generated with an average length of 406 bp. Of these reads, 2530 contained microsatellite loci with di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, or hexanucleotide units of at least five repeats, and 1657 reads could be used to design PCR primers with an expected product size ranging from 100 to 447 bp. The raw data of the sequences have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (accession no. SRP094881).

Fifty-eight microsatellite loci with more than five repeats were selected to design PCR primers using Primer Premier version 5.0 (PREMIER Biosoft International, Palo Alto, California, USA). The amplification of these primers was tested using three individuals each from three natural populations: Shimeiwang, Bawangling, and Baishui Forest Farm on Hainan Island, China. The PCR reaction mixture (10 µL) contained 50 ng of DNA template, 150 µM dNTPs, 2.0 µM MgCl₂, 0.5 µM forward and reverse primers, 1× PCR buffer (Tiagen Biotech Ltd., Beijing, China), and 0.04 U/µL of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Tiagen Biotech Ltd.). PCR was carried out on an Applied Biosystems Veriti thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) using the following program: 94°C for 3 min, 94°C for 30 s, annealing temperature (see Table 1) for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s (35 cycles), and 72°C for 10 min. Among the 58 loci detected, 20 generated specific PCR products.

Polymorphism of the 20 microsatellite loci was further evaluated using 87 individuals from three natural populations of *V. mangachapoi* (Appendix 1). The fluorescence-labeled dUTP method as described by Li and Gan (2011) was applied with the PCR reaction conditions described above and the reaction system modified with 100 µM dNTPs and 10 µM dUTPs. The PCR products were analyzed by an automated sequencer (ABI 3730XL, Applied Biosystems). Genotyping was performed using GeneMapper version 4.0 software (Applied Biosystems). MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al., 2004) was used to detect and correct the presence of null alleles, and POPGENE version 1.31

¹ Manuscript received 2 November 2016; revision accepted 27 December 2016.

The authors thank Dr. Nian Wang (Shandong Agriculture University) for his constructive suggestions. This study received financial support from the Special Research Program for Public-Welfare Forestry (no. 201204301-2).

⁴ Author for correspondence: zengdasan@hotmail.com

doi:10.3732/apps.1600134

Applications in Plant Sciences 2017 5(2): 1600134; <http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps> © 2017 Guo et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0), which permits unrestricted noncommercial use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited and the new work is distributed under the same license as the original.

TABLE 1. Characterization of 20 microsatellite loci for *Vatica mangachapoi*.

Loci	Primer sequences (5′–3′)	Repeat motif	Allele size range (bp)	T_a (°C)	A	GenBank accession no.
VM03	F: GCACAGGGAGGAAATATCAGGT R: TGACCAGTTTTAATCAGCACGC	(AGG) ₅	178	56	1	KY056575
VM04	F: ATCAAACCTCCATGGAGCCACAA R: GCACCAACTTCCAACTGTGTAC	(GA) ₇	138	58	1	KY056576
VM05	F: GATAGCGTTCCTGACACAAACG R: TACTGGTAGGCTTTTCGGGTTTC	(AT) ₇	245	55	1	KY056577
VM09	F: GAACCCCTATTGGCCTGCCTAC R: GGGACCAAATGACTTGAGTAATCT	(AT) ₁₁	166–184	54	9	KY034644
VM12	F: ACCCTAACCAATTCTCTTTGTTTCCT R: CCCCAATCTCAGTAAGGACTCA	(TAA) ₁₁	152–195	59	14	KY034645
VM14	F: CTTGTGTGAGCATGCATGTAT R: TGCTGGCCTTTTATGTTAGGGT	(AT) ₁₁	175–191	59	9	KY034646
VM15	F: CTGAAGACGACGAATGCGAATC R: TCTGCTCAATCGTTCCAAAGC	(CGG) ₅	150	56	1	KY056578
VM16	F: CAACCCCTCTAGCCGAGATTTC R: AACCAAGCGACAATAGCACAAAC	(TA) ₈	197	57	1	KY056579
VM19	F: ATAGCAGGCACTTCGGAAGTAC R: CCTGAGAAACAAGCAACGCAT	(TA) ₈	261–277	56	10	KY034647
VM22	F: ACCTTAATGGCTGTACCTGG R: AGCCGGTTCACCTTCAATGAGA	(CTT) ₆	275	59	1	KY056580
VM23	F: TGGTTTGTGAAAACCTTGCATGG R: AAGGTGGCTCGGTCATCTAATC	(TA) ₆	238	58	1	KY056581
VM26	F: GCACTAGCACTAGCACTAGCTT R: GGCTTTTCCAATTCCATGGCT	(CT) ₁₁	218–226	58	7	KY034648
VM29	F: AGTTAAGGACCAAAATTAGCGT R: GTGTTTGTCAACTGGGCTTCAA	(TA) ₇	259–269	56	6	KY034649
VM33	F: AAATTGGAGGGGAGGGGAAGA R: CCTCCTCCTCTCCTCATTAGA	(TTA) ₅	101	58	1	KY056574
VM37	F: CCCATGTGCTAGGCTAATGCTA R: AAATCAGCATGAACTTCTCCATT	(AT) ₆	229–239	56	6	KY034650
VM43	F: CACCACCACAGGCTTGAGTATA R: GAAGGCCAACTAATCAAGCTGC	(TA) ₇	168–182	59	6	KY034651
VM47	F: TCATTTCTGTCTCACTCGACCC R: TCATCGACGAATCACTGTTTCA	(TTC) ₁₀	148–168	59	7	KY034652
VM49	F: ACGGATAAGTTAAGGACTAGACA R: AGATTTTCCCCCAGTCATCGAC	(TA) ₁₀	215–227	54	11	KY034653
VM52	F: GCTGGCACTTAGGATGCCTTAA R: AGCAACCAATTAGCTCAAATCAA	(ATT) ₁₁	138–150	58	15	KY034654
VM53	F: GGGCAGCCTCGTAAATCAATTAC R: ATTACCTGGCACAACTTAGCC	(ATT) ₁₃	225–249	59	10	KY034643

Note: A = number of alleles; T_a = annealing temperature.

(Yeh et al., 1999) was used to calculate the number of alleles, expected and observed heterozygosity, and to assess deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and linkage disequilibrium (LD).

Twelve loci were found to be polymorphic and eight were monomorphic. Among the 12 polymorphic loci, the number of alleles per locus ranged from six to 15 (Table 1), with an average of 9.2. The observed and expected heterozygosity

TABLE 2. Genetic diversity data of 12 polymorphic microsatellite loci in three *Vatica mangachapoi* populations.^a

Locus	Shimeiwai (N = 32)				Bawangling (N = 28)				Baishui Forest Farm (N = 27)			
	A	H_o	H_e	HWE ^b	A	H_o	H_e	HWE ^b	A	H_o	H_e	HWE ^b
VM09	9	0.844	0.790	0.683	6	0.607	0.768	0.301	5	0.815	0.802	0.231
VM12	11	0.844	0.826	0.000**	7	0.714	0.677	0.988	10	0.630	0.800	0.000**
VM14	8	0.750	0.804	0.298	7	0.857	0.823	0.469	8	0.852	0.854	0.490
VM19	9	0.594	0.793	0.004**	8	0.423	0.771	0.000**	9	0.778	0.791	0.000**
VM26	5	0.688	0.657	0.879	6	0.571	0.700	0.766	4	0.667	0.637	0.977
VM29	6	0.594	0.727	0.747	5	0.571	0.686	0.012*	6	0.653	0.740	0.658
VM37	6	0.594	0.591	0.997	3	0.000	0.434	0.000**	5	0.630	0.620	0.968
VM43	5	0.563	0.554	0.265	3	0.107	0.200	0.002**	4	0.185	0.177	0.999
VM47	5	0.656	0.738	0.583	7	0.750	0.744	0.936	6	0.926	0.773	0.768
VM49	7	0.625	0.782	0.052	7	0.679	0.770	0.487	10	0.500	0.864	0.000**
VM52	5	0.438	0.660	0.022*	10	0.607	0.770	0.355	13	0.593	0.860	0.000**
VM53	9	0.875	0.794	0.970	8	0.786	0.853	0.102	8	0.889	0.860	0.520

Note: A = number of alleles; H_e = expected heterozygosity; H_o = observed heterozygosity; HWE = Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium probabilities; N = number of individuals sampled.

^aLocality and voucher information are provided in Appendix 1.

^bDeviations from HWE were statistically significant at * $P < 0.05$ and ** $P < 0.01$.

TABLE 3. Cross-species usability of 20 microsatellite loci for *Vatica guangxiensis*.

Loci	A	H_o	H_e
VM03	1	0	0
VM04	1	0	0
VM05	1	0	0
VM09	4	0.750	0.821
VM12	2	0	0.423
VM14	5	1.000	0.857
VM15	1	0	0
VM16	1	0	0
VM19	5	0.750	0.857
VM22	1	0	0
VM23	1	0	0
VM26	3	0.500	0.750
VM29	4	0.500	0.786
VM33	1	0	0
VM37	4	0.750	0.643
VM43	3	0.5	0.679
VM47	4	1	0.750
VM49	5	0.750	0.786
VM52	3	0.750	0.714
VM53	5	0.750	0.857

Note: A = number of alleles; H_e = expected heterozygosity; H_o = observed heterozygosity.

of these loci ranged from 0.000 to 0.926 and from 0.177 to 0.864 in three populations, respectively (Table 2). Four loci (VM19, VM37, VM43, and VM52) likely contained null alleles (95% confidence interval). After correction of these null alleles, departure from HWE and LD were tested. Three loci (VM12, VM19, and VM52) showed significant deviation from HWE in Shimeiwan, four loci (VM19, VM29, VM37, and VM43) in Bawangling, and four loci (VM12, VM19, VM49, and VM52) in Baishui Forest Farm (Table 2). No significant LD was detected for all pairwise analyses of the 12 polymorphic loci.

The 20 loci were further tested using five individuals of *V. guangxiensis* S. L. Mo from Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Appendix 1). This species is also an endangered dipterocarp indigenous to Guangxi and Yunnan, China. Testing indicated that all of these loci could be successfully amplified in this species (Table 3).

CONCLUSIONS

Twenty microsatellite markers, including 12 polymorphic markers, for *V. mangachapoi* are reported here for the first time.

APPENDIX 1. Voucher specimen information for populations used in this study. Specimens are deposited at the Herbarium of the Research Institute of Tropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Guangzhou, China.

Species	Voucher specimen accession no. ^a	Collection locality	Geographic coordinates	N
<i>Vatica mangachapoi</i> Blanco	VM-RITF-GSZ-SMW-04 ^b	Shimeiwan, Hainan, China	19.1167°N, 109.1000°E	1
<i>V. mangachapoi</i>	VM-RITF-GSZ-SMW-16	Shimeiwan, Hainan, China	19.1167°N, 109.1000°E	32
<i>V. mangachapoi</i>	VM-RITF-GSZ-BWL-08	Bawangling, Hainan, China	18.7000°N, 109.8333°E	28
<i>V. mangachapoi</i>	VM-RITF-GSZ-BSF-02	Baishui Forest Farm, Hainan, China	18.6500°N, 110.2500°E	27
<i>V. guangxiensis</i> X. L. Mo	VG-RITF-GSZ-ML-01	Mengla County, Yunnan, China	21.6833°N, 109.4167°E	5

Note: N = number of individuals sampled.

^aVM = *Vatica mangachapoi*; VG = *Vatica guangxiensis*; RITF = Research Institute of Tropical Forestry; GSZ = Junjie Guo, Shuaibin Shang, and Jie Zeng, collectors.

^bThis sample was used for designing the primer sequences and was included in the population analysis.

All of the polymorphic markers cross-amplified successfully in *V. guangxiensis*. These markers can be applied to investigate the genetic diversity and population genetic structure of both species, which would contribute to the conservation of their genetic resources.

LITERATURE CITED

- APPANAH, S., AND J. M. TURNBULL. 1998. A review of dipterocarps: Taxonomy, ecology and silviculture. Center for International Forestry Research, Bogor, Indonesia.
- HUANG, J. X., F. B. HUANG, H. XU, Y. D. LI, AND X. Y. ZHUANG. 2008. Genetic diversity of *Vatica mangachapoi* in Hainan Island revealed by AFLP. *Scientia Silvae Sinicae* 44: 46–52.
- IUCN. 2014. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2014.3. Website <http://www.iucnredlist.org> [accessed 16 March 2015].
- LI, F. G., AND S. M. GAN. 2011. An optimised protocol for fluorescent-dUTP based SSR genotyping and its application to genetic mapping in *Eucalyptus*. *Silvae Genetica* 60: 18–25.
- LI, X. W., J. LI, AND P. S. ASHTON. 2007. *Dipterocarpaceae*. In Z. Y. Wu and P. H. Raven [eds.], Flora of China, Vol. 13, 48–54: Alangiaceae through Violaceae. Science Press, Beijing, China, and Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, Missouri, USA.
- LU, Y. Y., T. CHENG, T. T. ZHU, D. JIANG, S. L. ZHOU, L. JIN, Q. J. YUAN, AND L. Q. HUANG. 2015. Isolation and characterization of 18 polymorphic microsatellite markers for the “Female Ginseng” *Angelica sinensis* (Apiaceae) and cross-species amplification. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology* 61: 488–492.
- QIN, Y. H., J. ZHANG, J. T. CUI, Z. K. GUO, N. JIANG, R. X. TAN, AND H. M. GE. 2011. Oligostilbenes from *Vatica mangachapoi* with xanthine oxidase and acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activities. *RSC Advances* 1: 135–141.
- VAN OOSTERHOUT, C., W. F. HUTCHINSON, D. P. M. WILLS, AND P. SHIPLEY. 2004. MICRO-CHECKER: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 4: 535–538.
- WANG, S., AND Y. XIE. 2004. China species red list. Higher Education Press, Beijing, China.
- YEH, F. C., R. C. YANG, AND T. BOYLE. 1999. POPGENE version 1.31: Microsoft Windows-based freeware for population genetic analysis. Centre for International Forestry Research, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.
- ZENG, J., Y. P. ZOU, J. Y. BAI, AND H. S. ZHENG. 2002. Preparation of total DNA from ‘recalcitrant plant taxa.’ *Acta Botanica Sinica* 44: 694–697.